

Public Law 110–114
110th Congress

An Act

To provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, to authorize the Secretary of the Army to construct various projects for improvements to rivers and harbors of the United States, and for other purposes.

Nov. 8, 2007
 [H.R. 1495]

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) **SHORT TITLE.**—This Act may be cited as the “Water Resources Development Act of 2007”.

(b) **TABLE OF CONTENTS.**—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

- Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.
- Sec. 2. Definition of Secretary.

TITLE I—WATER RESOURCES PROJECTS

- Sec. 1001. Project authorizations.
- Sec. 1002. Small projects for flood damage reduction.
- Sec. 1003. Small projects for emergency streambank protection.
- Sec. 1004. Small projects for navigation.
- Sec. 1005. Small projects for improvement of the quality of the environment.
- Sec. 1006. Small projects for aquatic ecosystem restoration.
- Sec. 1007. Small projects for shoreline protection.
- Sec. 1008. Small projects for snagging and sediment removal.
- Sec. 1009. Small projects to prevent or mitigate damage caused by navigation projects.
- Sec. 1010. Small projects for aquatic plant control.

TITLE II—GENERAL PROVISIONS

- Sec. 2001. Non-Federal contributions.
- Sec. 2002. Funding to process permits.
- Sec. 2003. Written agreement for water resources projects.
- Sec. 2004. Compilation of laws.
- Sec. 2005. Dredged material disposal.
- Sec. 2006. Remote and subsistence harbors.
- Sec. 2007. Use of other Federal funds.
- Sec. 2008. Revision of project partnership agreement; cost sharing.
- Sec. 2009. Expedited actions for emergency flood damage reduction.
- Sec. 2010. Watershed and river basin assessments.
- Sec. 2011. Tribal partnership program.
- Sec. 2012. Wildfire firefighting.
- Sec. 2013. Technical assistance.
- Sec. 2014. Lakes program.
- Sec. 2015. Cooperative agreements.
- Sec. 2016. Training funds.
- Sec. 2017. Access to water resource data.
- Sec. 2018. Shore protection projects.
- Sec. 2019. Ability to pay.
- Sec. 2020. Aquatic ecosystem and estuary restoration.
- Sec. 2021. Small flood damage reduction projects.
- Sec. 2022. Small river and harbor improvement projects.
- Sec. 2023. Protection of highways, bridge approaches, public works, and nonprofit public services.

Water Resources Development Act of 2007.
 Inter-governmental relations.
 33 USC 2201 note.

- Sec. 6002. Pilot projects.
- Sec. 6003. Maximum costs.
- Sec. 6004. Credit.
- Sec. 6005. Outreach and assistance.
- Sec. 6006. Critical restoration projects.
- Sec. 6007. Regional engineering model for environmental restoration.

TITLE VII—LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA

- Sec. 7001. Definitions.
- Sec. 7002. Comprehensive plan.
- Sec. 7003. Louisiana coastal area.
- Sec. 7004. Coastal Louisiana Ecosystem Protection and Restoration Task Force.
- Sec. 7005. Project modifications.
- Sec. 7006. Construction.
- Sec. 7007. Non-Federal cost share.
- Sec. 7008. Project justification.
- Sec. 7009. Independent review.
- Sec. 7010. Expedited reports.
- Sec. 7011. Reporting.
- Sec. 7012. New Orleans and vicinity.
- Sec. 7013. Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet.
- Sec. 7014. Hurricane and storm damage reduction.
- Sec. 7015. Larose to Golden Meadow.
- Sec. 7016. Lower Jefferson Parish, Louisiana.

TITLE VIII—UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND ILLINOIS WATER-WAY SYSTEM

- Sec. 8001. Definitions.
- Sec. 8002. Navigation improvements and restoration.
- Sec. 8003. Authorization of construction of navigation improvements.
- Sec. 8004. Ecosystem restoration authorization.
- Sec. 8005. Comparable progress.

TITLE IX—NATIONAL LEVEE SAFETY PROGRAM

- Sec. 9001. Short title.
- Sec. 9002. Definitions.
- Sec. 9003. Committee on Levee Safety.
- Sec. 9004. Inventory and inspection of levees.
- Sec. 9005. Limitations on statutory construction.
- Sec. 9006. Authorization of appropriations.

SEC. 2. DEFINITION OF SECRETARY.

In this Act, the term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Army.

33 USC 2201
note.

TITLE I—WATER RESOURCES PROJECTS

Studies.

SEC. 1001. PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS.

Except as otherwise provided in this section, the following projects for water resources development and conservation and other purposes are authorized to be carried out by the Secretary substantially in accordance with the plans, and subject to the conditions, described in the respective reports designated in this section:

(1) HAINES, ALASKA.—The project for navigation, Haines, Alaska: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December 20, 2004, at a total cost of \$14,040,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$11,232,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$2,808,000.

(2) PORT LIONS, ALASKA.—The project for navigation, Port Lions, Alaska: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated June 14, 2006, at a total cost of \$9,530,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$7,624,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$1,906,000.

October 29, 2001, at a total cost of \$15,580,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$10,127,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$5,453,000.

(27) ROSEAU RIVER, ROSEAU, MINNESOTA.—The project for flood damage reduction, Roseau River, Roseau, Minnesota: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December 19, 2006, at a total cost of \$25,100,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$13,820,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$11,280,000.

(28) ARGENTINE, EAST BOTTOMS, FAIRFAX-JERSEY CREEK, AND NORTH KANSAS LEVEES UNITS, MISSOURI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES AT KANSAS CITIES, MISSOURI AND KANSAS.—The project for flood damage reduction, Argentine, East Bottoms, Fairfax-Jersey Creek, and North Kansas Levees units, Missouri River and tributaries at Kansas Cities, Missouri and Kansas: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December 19, 2006, at a total cost of \$65,430,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$42,530,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$22,900,000.

(29) SWOPE PARK INDUSTRIAL AREA, BLUE RIVER, KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI.—The project for flood damage reduction, Swope Park Industrial Area, Blue River, Kansas City, Missouri: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December 30, 2003, at a total cost of \$16,980,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$11,037,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$5,943,000.

(30) GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET TO TOWNSENDS INLET, NEW JERSEY.—The project for hurricane and storm damage reduction, Great Egg Harbor Inlet to Townsends Inlet, New Jersey: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated October 24, 2006, at a total cost of \$54,360,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$35,069,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$19,291,000, and at an estimated total cost of \$202,500,000 for periodic nourishment over the 50-year life of the project, with an estimated Federal cost of \$101,250,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$101,250,000.

(31) HUDSON RARITAN ESTUARY, LIBERTY STATE PARK, NEW JERSEY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The project for environmental restoration, Hudson Raritan Estuary, Liberty State Park, New Jersey: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated August 25, 2006, at a total cost of \$34,100,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$22,200,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$11,900,000.

(B) RESTORATION TEAMS.—In carrying out the project, the Secretary shall establish and utilize watershed restoration teams composed of estuary restoration experts from the Corps of Engineers, the New Jersey department of environmental protection, and the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey and other experts designated by the Secretary for the purpose of developing habitat restoration and water quality enhancement.

(32) NEW JERSEY SHORE PROTECTION STUDY, MANASQUAN INLET TO BARNEGAT INLET, NEW JERSEY.—The project for hurricane and storm damage reduction, New Jersey Shore Protection Study, Manasquan Inlet to Barnegat Inlet, New Jersey: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December 30, 2003, at a total cost of \$71,900,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$46,735,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$25,165,000,